UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :
This document relates to:	: 1:20-md-02974-LMM
MARY WALSH	:
VS. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;; TEVA WOMEN'S HEALTH, LLC; TEVA BRANDED PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS R&D, INC.; THE COOPER COMPANIES, INC.; and COOPERSURGICAL, INC.	: Civil Action No.:: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
SHORT FORM	COMPLAINT
Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) name	ned below, and for her/their Complaint
against the Defendant(s) named below, in	corporate(s) the Second Amended Master
Personal Injury Complaint (Doc. No.	79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference.
Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:	
1. Name of Plaintiff placed with Para	agard:
MARY WALSH	
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (in	f a party to the case): N/A
-	

N/A	
State of	of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in
•	entative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's original int: California
State	of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement
	California
State	of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: California
Distri	ct Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue
would	be proper:
	Northern District Court of California—San Francisco, CA
D. C	
	dants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defendar
agains	st whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (
Defen	dants are the only defendants against whom a Short For
Comp	laint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a defenda

in a Short Form Complaint.):

X	A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
X	B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
X	C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
X	D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
X	E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
9.	Basis of Jurisdiction
X	Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
	Other (if Other, identify below):
10.	

Date(s) Plaintiff had Paragard placed (DD/MM/YYYY)	Placing Physician(s) or other Health Care Provider (include City and State)	Date Plaintiff's Paragard was Removed (DD/MM/YYYY)* *If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately.	Removal Physician(s) or other Health Care Provider (include City and State)** **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately.
01/01/2014	Planned Parenthood 1140 Sonoma Ave Santa Rosa, CA 95405	02/18/2020	Jenna Heft 5900 State Farm RD Rhonert Park, CA 94928
		07/01/2020	Dr Fiona Redwood 1179 N. McDowell Petaluma, CA 94954
		12/16/2020	Dr Anthony Kosinski 1179 N. McDowell Petaluma, CA 94954

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her		
	Paragard upon removal.		
X	Yes		
	No		
12.	Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:		
	Significant pain and suffering, complicated medical interventions to remove broken Paragard,		
	loss of reproductive health, permanent impairment/disfigurement, and mental anguish.		
	Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and		
	complications specific to her.		
13.	Product Identification:		
	a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known):		
	Unknown		
	b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the		
	HealthCare Provider who placed your Paragard:		
	□ Yes		
	⊗ No		
14.	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):		
\mathbf{x}	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
X	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
X	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
X	Count IV – Negligence		
X	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		
X	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn		

]	Cou	Count IX – Negligent Misrepresentation		
]	Cou	Count X – Breach of Express Warranty		
<u> </u>	Cou	Count XI – Breach of Implied Warranty		
ķ	Cou	Count XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws		
]	Cou	Count XIII – Gross Negligence		
k	Cou	Count XIV – Unjust Enrichment		
k	Cou	Count XV – Punitive Damages		
]	Cou	Count XVI – Loss of Consortium		
]	Othe	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims		
		1: 4. M. A. O		
ot i	nclude	d in the Master Complaint below):		
ot i		ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:		
	"Tol a.	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?		
	"Tol	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:		
	"Tol a.	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?		
	"Tol a.	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes		
	"Tola.	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No		
	"Tola.	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond		

16. Count VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by allegations:		t VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission)
		ations:
	a.	Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud &
		Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim
		for fraud or misrepresentation?
	$\Box_{\!$	Yes
		No
	b.	If Yes, the following information must be provided (in
		accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9,
		and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state
		law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: Paragard more effective than other hormone free birth control methods. Easily reversible. Easy to remove. Nonsurgical removal in routine office visit. Can be removed anytime. Omitted breakage at or near routine removal requiring complicated medical intervention
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement:
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her physicians
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made: Various dates while Plaintiff intended to and was implanted with Paragard

- 17. If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:
 - a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? It is currently unknown if Plaintiffs' specific lot was defectively manufactured. Plaintiff will supplement as discovery proceeds.

18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is
	alleged in the Master Complaint:
19.	Jury Demand:
	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	·
Ш	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	En K Lon
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
	Erin Copeland
Address, pl	none number, email address and Bar information:
1150 Bissonne	t Street, Houston, TX 77005
713-751-0025	
ecopeland@fib	ichlaw.com

SBN# 24028167/Federal ID# 29219